2 3

4

5 6

7

10

11

12 13

18 II

19

20

21 22

23

24 II 25 II

27

26 II

28 II

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION ATHLETIC GRANT-IN-AID CAP ANTITRUST LITIGATION

No. MDL 14-2541 CW

ORDER GRANTING IN PART MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (Docket No. 51)

On July 7, 2014, Plaintiffs Sharrif Floyd, Kyle Theret, Chris Stone, John Bohannon, Ashley Holliday, and Chris Davenport (collectively, Floyd Plaintiffs) moved for an extension of time to 15 file the consolidated amended complaint (CAC). Specifically, they 16 moved to continue the July 9, 2014 deadline for filing the CAC 17 until one week after the Court issues its rulings on the pending motions to appoint co-lead Plaintiffs' counsel. Plaintiffs Shawne Alston and Nicholas Kindler, who are currently represented by interim co-lead counsel, oppose the motion. After considering the parties' submissions, the Court grants the motion in part and denies it in part.

The Floyd Plaintiffs contend that an extension of time is necessary to ensure that they have an adequate opportunity to contribute to the CAC. This concern does not justify the extension that they have requested. Alston and Kindler represent that they gave the Floyd Plaintiffs an opportunity to participate in the drafting of the CAC on June 19 -- more than two weeks

3

8

9

10

11

15 II

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

before the Floyd Plaintiffs filed the instant motion -- and that they endeavored to incorporate the Floyd Plaintiffs' allegations into the CAC. If any further amendments to the CAC are necessary and justified, Plaintiffs may seek leave to amend the CAC after Defendants have filed their responsive pleading or motion to In the meantime, the Floyd Plaintiffs' concerns would be addressed most effectively by communicating directly with counsel for Alston and Kindler regarding the filing of the CAC.

The Floyd Plaintiffs also argue that an extension is necessary because "the parties in this matter will benefit substantially from the Court's ruling in O'Bannon." Docket No. |12||51, Mot. for Extension of Time 2. However, the extension that 13 they have requested would not necessarily ensure that the parties 14 have the benefit of the O'Bannon ruling before filing their pleadings. Once again, the Floyd Plaintiffs have asked to continue the deadline for filing the CAC until after the Court issues its rulings on the motions to appoint co-lead counsel in this case; they did not ask for a delay until after the Court issues its ruling in O'Bannon.

Accordingly, the Floyd Plaintiffs' motion for an extension of time (Docket No. 51) is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. deadline for the filing of the CAC is continued to July 11, 2014 in order to give the Floyd Plaintiffs a final opportunity to provide input on the CAC. Alston and Kindler shall provide a copy of the CAC to the Floyd Plaintiffs by 5:00 p.m. on July 9, 2014. Counsel for the Floyd Plaintiffs shall meet and confer with counsel for Alston and Kindler regarding the CAC by 2:00 p.m. on The CAC shall be filed no later than 12:00 p.m. on July 10, 2014.

## Case 4:14-md-02541-CW Document 56 Filed 07/08/14 Page 3 of 3

For the Northern District of California

**United States District Court** 

July 11,	2014.	All oth	ner dead	dlir	nes i	inc	luding D	efer	ndants '	
deadline	to file	e their	answer	or	motion	to	dismiss		shall	remain
unchanged.										

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/8/2014

United States District Judge